

REMARKS

As a supplement to the May 5, 2008 Amendment, Applicant has amended Claims 7, 14 and 18 to recite additional features corresponding (but not limited to) the features shown in Figures 10 and 11 (the elected species). This combination of features shown in Figs. 10 and 11 and recited in amended Claims 7, 14, and 18 is not understood to be disclosed or suggested by the Davis citation, as can be seen from comparing amended Claims 7, 14, and 18 and Figs. 10 and 11 with Davis.

For the Examiner's convenience, Applicant provides the correspondence between many of the elements recited in amended Claim 7 and Figures 10 and 11:

the recording apparatus corresponds (but is not limited) to the recording apparatus shown in Fig. 10 and the playback device corresponds (but is not limited) to the playback apparatus shown in Figure 11;

the first input unit corresponds (but is not limited) to the elements 301 and 302 at the upper left corner of Fig. 10;

the second input unit corresponds (but is not limited) to elements 301 and 302 on the upper right side of Fig. 10;

the third input unit corresponds (but is not limited) to element 311;

the first generator corresponds (but is not limited) to the generator 303;

the maker note generator corresponds (but is not limited) to generator 305;

the second generator corresponds (but is not limited) to the generator 307;

the third generator corresponds (but is not limited) to the generator 309;

the separation device corresponds (but is not limited) to the parser 402;

the first extraction device corresponds (but is not limited) to the extraction devices (404, 406);

the decryption device corresponds (but is not limited) to the decryption means 431;

the second extraction device corresponds (but is not limited) to device 408; and

the style sheet input device corresponds (but is not limited) to element 410.

The citation to Davis is not understood to disclose or suggest the recording apparatus and playback device recited by amended Claim 7. More specifically, the Davis citation is not understood to disclose or suggest the first, second and third input units, the first, second, and third generators, the maker note generator, and the storing device of the recording apparatus recited by Claim 7, or the separation device, the first and second extraction devices, and the decryption and display devices of the playback device of amended Claim 7. Therefore, the Office is not understood to have satisfied its burden of proof to establish the anticipation of amended Claim 7 over the Davis citation. And since corresponding method and medium Claims 14 and 18 have been amended in a similar manner, the Office is not understood to have satisfied its burden of proof to establish the anticipation of amended Claims 14 and 18 over the Davis citation. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of independent Claims 7, 14, and 18 be withdrawn.

The dependent claims are allowable for the reasons given for the independent claims and because they recite features that are patentable in their own right. Individual consideration of the dependent claims is respectfully solicited.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, the application is now in allowable form.
Therefore, early passage to issue is respectfully solicited.

Any fee required in connection with this paper should be charged to Deposit Account No.
06-1205.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by
telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should be directed to our address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Gary M. Jacobs/

Gary M. Jacobs
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 28,861

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200
OMJ/klm

FCHS_WS 2306199v1